

WEIL, GOTSHAL & MANGES LLP  
767 Fifth Avenue  
New York, New York 10153  
Telephone: (212) 310-8000  
Facsimile: (212) 310-8007  
Garrett A. Fail

*Attorneys for Lehman Brothers Holdings Inc.  
and Certain of Its Affiliates*

**UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT  
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK**

-----X	
	:
<b>In re</b>	:
	:
<b>LEHMAN BROTHERS HOLDINGS INC., et al.,</b>	:
	:
<b>Debtors.</b>	:
	:
-----X	

**Chapter 11 Case No.**  
**08-13555 (SCC)**  
**(Jointly Administered)**

**NOTICE REGARDING CERTAIN UK LITIGATION**

As previously announced, the Court of Appeal of England and Wales gave judgment on October 20, 2021, relating to the ranking of claims held by Lehman Brothers Holdings Inc. (“LBHI”) and one of its affiliates in the insolvency proceedings of Lehman Brothers Holdings PLC (in administration) and LB Holdings Intermediate 2 Limited (in administration) (the “UK Judgment”). In a decision published today, the Supreme Court of the United Kingdom declined LBHI’s request to hear an appeal of the UK Judgment. A copy of the decision is attached hereto.

Dated: August 8, 2022  
New York, New York

/s/ Garrett A. Fail  
Garrett A. Fail

WEIL, GOTSHAL & MANGES LLP  
767 Fifth Avenue  
New York, New York 10153  
Telephone: (212) 310-8000  
Facsimile: (212) 310-8007

*Attorneys for Lehman Brothers Holdings Inc.  
and Certain of Its Affiliates*

**Exhibit A**



IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED KINGDOM

8 AUGUST 2022

UKSC 2021/0219

*Before:*

Lord Briggs  
Lord Sales  
Lord Burrows

**In the matter of Lehman Brothers Holdings plc (in administration) and others  
(Respondents) v  
Lehman Brothers Scottish LP 3 and another (Appellants)**

AFTER CONSIDERATION of the application filed on behalf of the Appellants seeking permission to appeal the order made by the Court of Appeal on 21 October 2021 and of the notices of objection filed by the First, Second and Fourth Respondents

THE COURT ORDERED that

- (1) permission to appeal be REFUSED because the application does not raise a point of law of general public importance on the ranking issues. No arguable point of law on the rectification issue. The double proof issue is therefore academic
- (2) the Appellants pay the First, Second and Fourth Respondents' costs of the application and, where the First, Second and Fourth Respondents apply for costs, the costs to be awarded be assessed.

A handwritten signature in blue ink that reads "Louise Angus".

Deputy Support Registrar  
8 August 2022